

109350

MARVIN LEVINS
7801 ROOSEVELT BLVD. #12
PHILA., PA 19152

4/13/88

REC'D

APR 15 1988

RECEIVED
APR 15 1988

To: Responsible parties, E.P.A.

On March 13, 1988, Jane Sulima submitted a list of questions on the Remedial Investigation Work Plan for the C&D Recycling Site. The list of questions were submitted thru her Senator as previous communication with E.P.A. had been deficient. The Senator was providing proper oversight.

One of the deficiencies in the Work Plan was that pages were missing. Some of the pages were subsequently supplied. Other pages were missing in the original Work Plan and remain missing. None of Mrs. Sulima's questions have been answered to date. An E.P.A. representative has already objected to answering certain questions as being "non-technical." Some of the questions were non-technical and required common sense such as when will the affected parties be able to see the data and will they have access to the data or will the data be under wraps in a "controlled computer access." These deficiencies continue.

Many questions were just not previously asked. The presumption was that several concerns would be answered upon the receipt of the missing pages. The exact reverse has happened. The missing pages raise several concerns. The most obvious concern is about the airborne contamination of lead.

The ground at the C&D site is contaminated with lead. Lead can become airborne depending upon particle size. Airborne lead can be ingested by nearby populations.

Presently, Federal Agencies are challenging the air and water concentrations in the present regulations as being to high and endangering public health.

Again, this lead problem at C&D raises many issues:

1. Is the particulate size of lead at the C&D site such that it will pose an air contamination problem?
2. What will or is being done to mitigate the problem?
3. How are the changing lead regulations within the E.P.A. being factored into the C&D cleanup? Will we have to accept the older, higher, more dangerous lead levels or will the newer, lower lead levels be factored into the cleanup?

The same questions must be answered for lead in water as C&D is a recharge point to a major aquifer.

I am not asking these questions to polish a Work Plan. I am asking these questions to help in the protection of human health. I would very much like to see a Work Plan whose primary objective is the preservation of health. I do not see it in the present work plan.

Respectfully submitted,

Marvin Levins
AR500284

RECEIVED
APR 1 1963
3PM